

# Contents

- 1. Introduction** **1**
- 1.1. Problem and Motivation . . . . . 1
- 1.2. Research Objectives . . . . . 1
- 1.3. Contribution . . . . . 2
- 1.4. Methodology . . . . . 2
- 1.5. Thesis Structure . . . . . 2
  
- 2. Two-Stage Robust UC Including A Novel Scenario-Based Uncertainty Model For Wind Power Applications** **3**
- 2.1. Introduction and Motivation . . . . . 5
- 2.2. Methodology . . . . . 7
- 2.2.1. Wind-power Forecast via Bootstrapping . . . . . 7
- 2.2.2. Scenario Generation . . . . . 9
- 2.2.3. An Optimization Model for the TSRUC . . . . . 11
- 2.3. Power System under Study: NIS . . . . . 15
- 2.4. Numerical Results . . . . . 15
- 2.5. Conclusions . . . . . 21
  
- 3. References** **23**
  
- A. Appendix** **26**
- A.1. Operating Cost Reductions and Algorithmic Performance (with other projections of wind power installation) . . . . . 26
- A.2. Cost-based comparison between the PM and the proposed modeling framework (with other projections of wind power installation) . . . . . 28

## List of figures

- 1. Block model of the proposed methodology . . . . . 6
- 2. ARIMA process/model adjusted to real wind-speed data (November 19th and 20th, 2013) . . . . . 8
- 3. Examples of the bootstrapping-based forecast procedure . . . . . 9
- 4. Representation of the scenario generation . . . . . 10
- 5. Block model of the proposed methodology . . . . . 16
- 6. Boxplots to the differences between the wors-case dispatching cost of the 250 simulated realizations . . . . . 20

7.	Cost-based comparison between the PM and the proposed modeling framework (July 1st).	21
8.	Cost-based comparison between the PM and the proposed modeling framework (July 1st, $\mathcal{U} = 33$ ).	28
9.	Cost-based comparison between the PM and the proposed modeling framework (July 1st, $\mathcal{U} = 66$ ).	28

## List of tables

1.	Operating Cost Reductions and Algorithmic Performance ( $\mathcal{U} = 45$ )	17
2.	Operating Cost Reductions and Algorithmic Performance ( $\mathcal{U} = 33$ )	26
3.	Operating Cost Reductions and Algorithmic Performance ( $\mathcal{U} = 66$ )	27