

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	4
TABLE OF CONTENTS	5
LIST OF TABLES	7
LIST OF FIGURES	8
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	10
LIST OF APPENDICES	11
ABSTRACT	12
CHAPTER ONE: GENERAL INTRODUCTION	13
1.1 INTRODUCTION	13
1.2 RESEARCH OBJECTIVE AND QUESTIONS	14
1.2.1 Primary objective	14
1.2.2 Secondary objectives	14
1.2.3 Research questions	15
1.3 OTHER INTRODUCTORY REMARKS	16
CHAPTER TWO: INTRODUCTION INTO THE PROBLEM SETTING	17
2.1 CONVENTIONAL COFFEE	17
2.1.1 International overview	17
2.1.2 National overview	19
2.2 ORGANIC COFFEE	20
2.2.1 International overview	20
2.2.2 National overview	22
2.3 CERTIFICATION	23
2.4 COMPARING TRADITIONAL AND ORGANIC COFFEE SYSTEMS	24
2.5 SUMMARY	27
CHAPTER THREE: HYPOTHESES AND METODOLOGY	28
3.1 ADOPTION OF TECHNOLOGY	28
3.2 COFFEE PRODUCTION AND AGRICULTURAL RISKS	30
3.3 ORGANIZATION, COLLECTIVE ACTION AND SOCIAL CAPITAL	33
3.4 LABOR UTILIZATION AND OFF-FARM LABOR	35
3.5 OTHER RELATED VARIABLES	36
3.5.1 Migration	36
3.5.2 Dwelling	38
3.5.3 Education	39
3.5.4 Health	39
3.6 CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK	40
3.7 HYPOTHESES	44
3.8 METHODOLOGY	46
3.8.1 Data collection and design of the study	46
3.8.2 Identification of the population and sample	46
3.8.3 Calculating Gross Margin	50
3.8.4 Environmental aspects consideration	51
3.8.5 Latin Hypercube simulation of risk impact on probability distribution of net present value	53

CHAPTER FOUR: DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS.....	56
4.1 THE SITUATION IN PUYANGO	56
4.1.1 <i>General aspects</i>	56
4.1.2 <i>The production systems</i>	57
4.1.3 <i>Organizations</i>	58
4.1.4 <i>Commercialization</i>	59
4.2 HUMAN AND SOCIAL CAPITAL.....	61
4.2.1 <i>Education</i>	62
4.2.2 <i>Age</i>	63
4.2.3 <i>Off-farm work</i>	64
4.2.4 <i>Farm size and total coffee hectares</i>	64
4.2.5 <i>Participation in organizations and collective action</i>	65
4.2.6 <i>Perception towards organic and traditional coffee production</i>	69
4.3 DETERMINANTS OF ADOPTION OF ORGANIC COFFEE	70
4.4 FINANCIAL ANALYSIS COMPARING ORGANIC AND TRADITIONAL SYSTEMS	75
4.4.1 <i>Coffee plantation density and production</i>	75
4.4.2 <i>Variable costs</i>	76
4.4.3 <i>Labor and capital utilization</i>	78
4.4.4 <i>Comparisons of gross margin and partial productivities</i>	80
4.4.5 <i>Net present value of production systems</i>	84
4.4.6 <i>Diversity of plant species</i>	86
4.5 LATIN HYPERCUBE SIMULATION	87
4.6 RISKS AND COPING MEASURES.....	96
4.6.1 <i>Frequency of risks</i>	96
4.6.2 <i>Coping measures</i>	98
4.7 DWELLING	101
4.8 HEALTH	105
4.9 MIGRATION	105
4.10 HYPOTHESES TESTING RESULTS.....	108
4.11 SUMMARY	111
CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	112
5.1 CONCLUSIONS	112
5.2 RECOMMENDATIONS	118
REFERENCES	121
APPENDICES	127

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1: ECUADOR, ORGANIC COFFEE PRODUCTION AND TRADED VOLUME IN QQ PER PROVINCE AND ORGANIZATION, 2002	23
_Toc70137275TABLE 2: HYPOTHESES AND NULL HYPOTHESES OF THE STUDY	45
_Toc70137277TABLE 3: MAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF TRADITIONAL AND ORGANIC PRODUCTION SYSTEMS IN PUYANGO	57
_Toc70137279TABLE 4: TECHNICAL TRAINING FOR TRADITIONAL AND ORGANIC COFFEE GROWERS IN PUYANGO	62
TABLE 5: TRADITIONAL AND ORGANIC COFFEE GROWERS ACCORDING TO THREE DIFFERENT AGE GROUPS (FIGURES FOR THE HOUSEHOLD HEAD), PUYANGO	63
TABLE 6: COMPARISON OF OFF-FARM WORK BETWEEN TRADITIONAL AND ORGANIC COFFEE GROWERS IN PUYANGO	64
TABLE 7: KNOWLEDGE ABOUT AND MEMBERSHIP TO ORGANIZATIONS FOR TRADITIONAL AND ORGANIC COFFEE GROWERS IN PUYANGO.....	65
TABLE 8: RESULTS FROM FITTING THE VARIABLES CONSIDERED INTO THE PROBIT EQUATION MODEL 1..	71
TABLE 9: RESULTS FROM FITTING THE VARIABLES CONSIDERED INTO THE PROBIT EQUATION MODEL 2..	73
TABLE 10: PREDICTIVE POWER OF THE PROBIT MODEL 1	74
TABLE 11: COFFEE PLANT DENSITY FOR THE DIFFERENT CASE STUDIES IN PUYANGO	75
_Toc70137291TABLE 12: VARIABLE COSTS (US \$/HA) FOR 5 ORGANIC AND 5 TRADITIONAL CASES IN PUYANGO, DURING A 10 YEAR PERIOD.....	77
TABLE 13: LABOR REQUIREMENTS (DAYS/HA.) FOR 5 ORGANIC AND 5 TRADITIONAL COFFEE GROWERS IN PUYANGO.....	78
TABLE 14: TYPE OF LABOR UTILIZATION BY 5 ORGANIC AND 5 TRADITIONAL COFFEE GROWERS IN PUYANGO (IN PERCENTAGES).....	79
TABLE 15: AVERAGE GROSS MARGIN (GM) PER TOTAL LABOR (HOURS) AND AVERAGE GM PER FAMILY LABOR (HOURS), FOR 5 ORGANIC AND 5 TRADITIONAL PRODUCERS, FOR A 10 YEAR PERIOD.	82
TABLE 16: CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS (US\$ / HA) BY ORGANIC AND TRADITIONAL PRODUCERS IN PUYANGO, DURING A 10 YEAR PERIOD.	83
TABLE 17: AVERAGE PARTIAL PRODUCTIVITY TO CAPITAL FOR A 10 YEAR PERIOD FOR ORGANIC AND TRADITIONAL COFFEE GROWERS IN PUYANGO.....	83
TABLE 18: NET PRESET VALUE FOR 5 ORGANIC AND 5 TRADITIONAL COFFEE PRODUCTION CASES IN PUYANGO, DISCOUNTING RATE = 4%, 10 YEAR PERIOD.....	85
TABLE 19: COFFEE PRICES (\$/QQ) TO GET THE BREAK-EVEN POINT FOR ORGANIC AND TRADITIONAL PRODUCERS FOR A 10 YEAR PERIOD.	86
TABLE 20: VARIETY AND QUANTITY OF PLANTS WITHIN FIVE ORGANIC AND FIVE TRADITIONAL COFFEE PRODUCTION CASES IN PUYANGO.	86
TABLE 21: NPV AND PROBABILITY OF $NPV \leq 0$ WHEN SIMULATING FOR LABOR COST SET AT \$5 AND \$3.5, AND CONSIDERING ADDITIONAL INCOME OR LEAVING IT OUT.	92
_Toc70137381TABLE 22: NPV'S OBTAINED FOR DIFFERENT SUB-PERIODS FOR COFFEE PRODUCTION IN PUYANGO, FOR ORGANIC CASES.	95
_Toc70137386TABLE 23: LIGHTING SOURCE USED BY TRADITIONAL AND ORGANIC COFFEE GROWERS IN PUYANGO.....	104
TABLE 24: ILLNESS OR INJURIES DURING LAST MONTH THAT AFFECTED TRADITIONAL AND ORGANIC COFFEE GROWERS IN PUYANGO.	105
TABLE 25: IF HOUSEHOLD HEAD MIGRATES, WHO IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE COFFEE PLANTATION?.....	106

_Toc70137431

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1: PRODUCTION (%) OF THE TEN FIRST GREEN COFFEE PRODUCERS IN THE WORLD, 2002.	18
FIGURE 2: COMPARISON BETWEEN WORLD COFFEE PRODUCTION AND CONSUMPTION FOR THE PERIOD 1990-2002.....	18
_Toc70136564FIGURE 3: THE RESEARCH IN THE CONTEXT OF THE TRIANGLE OF SUSTAINABILITY.....	43
_Toc70136566FIGURE 4: MONETARY EVALUATION METHODS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS.	52
_Toc70136568FIGURE 5: COMMERCIALIZATION CHAIN FOR FAIR TRADE AND ORGANIC COFFEE PRODUCED IN PUYANGO.	60
FIGURE 6: LEVEL OF EDUCATION FOR HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS 12 YEARS OR OLDER FOR TRADITIONAL AND ORGANIC COFFEE GROWERS IN PUYANGO.	62
FIGURE 7: OPINION OF ORGANIC AND TRADITIONAL COFFEE GROWERS REGARDING THE STATEMENT “ORGANIC COFFEE IS MORE PROFITABLE THAN TRADITIONAL COFFEE”.....	69
FIGURE 8: OPINION OF ORGANIC AND TRADITIONAL COFFEE GROWERS REGARDING THE STATEMENT “ORGANIC COFFEE PROTECTS THE ENVIRONMENT”.	70
FIGURE 9: MEAN (QQ/HA) PRODUCTION LEVEL FOR FIVE ORGANIC AND FIVE TRADITIONAL COFFEE SYSTEMS IN PUYANGO, FOR A 10 YEAR PERIOD.	76
FIGURE 10: GROSS MARGIN (\$ / HA.) FOR 5 ORGANIC AND 5 TRADITIONAL COFFEE GROWERS IN PUYANGO, FOR A 10 YEAR PERIOD.....	80
FIGURE 11: SIMULATION OF INCREMENTAL NPV (IN DOLLARS) FOR ORGANIC COFFEE PRODUCTION WITH HAND LABOR COST SET AT \$5.00	88
FIGURE 12: SIMULATION OF INCREMENTAL NPV (IN DOLLARS) FOR TRADITIONAL COFFEE PRODUCTION WITH HAND LABOR COST SET AT \$5.00.	89
FIGURE 13: SIMULATION OF INCREMENTAL NPV (IN DOLLARS) FOR ORGANIC COFFEE PRODUCTION WITH HAND LABOR COST SET AT \$3.50 FOR.....	90
FIGURE 14: SIMULATION OF INCREMENTAL NPV (IN DOLLARS) FOR TRADITIONAL COFFEE PRODUCTION WITH HAND LABOR COST SET AT \$3.50.	91
_Toc70136670FIGURE 15: AVERAGE TOTAL INCOME, AVERAGE VARIABLE COST AND AVERAGE GROSS MARGIN FOR 5 ORGANIC COFFEE FARMS (1 HA) IN PUYANGO, FOR A 20 YEAR PERIOD.	94
FIGURE 16: AVERAGE TOTAL INCOME, AVERAGE VARIABLE COST AND AVERAGE GROSS MARGIN FOR 5 TRADITIONAL COFFEE FARMS (1 HA) IN PUYANGO, FOR A 20 YEAR PERIOD.....	94
_Toc70136675FIGURE 17: FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION FOR THE PRODUCTION RISKS FACED BY ORGANIC AND TRADITIONAL COFFEE GROWERS IN PUYANGO, 2003.....	98
FIGURE 18: FREQUENCY USE (%) OF OFF-FARM WORK AS A MEASURE TO COPE WITH RISK.	99
FIGURE 19: USE OF “BELT-TIGHTENING” BY ORGANIC AND TRADITIONAL COFFEE GROWERS IN PUYANGO, AS A MEASURE TO COPE WITH AGRICULTURAL RISKS.	100
FIGURE 20: FREQUENCY OF USE OF LIQUID ASSETS BY TRADITIONAL AND ORGANIC GROWERS IN PUYANGO.	101
FIGURE 21: DISPOSAL OF WASTE MATERIAL BY TRADITIONAL AND ORGANIC COFFEE GROWERS IN PUYANGO	102
FIGURE 22: DRINKING WATER SOURCES USED BY TRADITIONAL AND ORGANIC COFFEE GROWERS IN PUYANGO.	103

_Toc70136740